IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.V.K.BALI
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.RAMACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 9TH JANUARY 2007 / 19TH PAUSHA 1928

Con.Case(C).No. 1571 of 2006(S)

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WPC.29058/2006 Dated 06/11/2006
.....

PETITIONER/IST WRIT PETITIONER

GEORGE K.D., AGED 48 YEARS,
S/O.DEVASSY, KUNNAKADAN HOUSE,
YORDHANAPURAM P.O., KALADY.

BY ADV. SRI.RAJIV NAMBISAN

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:
---

1. M.P.SCARIA,
AGE AND S/O.NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KALADY.

2. TOMY SEBASTIAN,
AGE AND S/O.NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KALADY.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER MR.T.B.HOOD

THIS CONTEMPT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.K. BALI, C.J. & M.RAMACHANDRAN, J.

Cont.Case (C) No.1571 of 2006

Dated, this the 9th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

V.K.Bali,C.J.(Oral)

The complaint in the present contempt matter is of non-compliance of the interim directions given by this Court in Annexure A1 dated 6th November, 2006. It is with regard to police protection. On instructions, Mr.T.B.Hood, learned Government Pleader, states that police protection was provided as and when asked for by the petitioner and it shall continue to be provided as and when asked for. The statement of the learned Government Pleader stands recorded and in view thereof this contempt matter is closed. However, before we may part with this order, we would like to mention that some unfounded allegations have been made in paragraph 6 of the contempt petition which do not appear to be correct in view of Annexure A3.

V.K. BALI,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

M.RAMACHANDRAN,
JUDGE.

vns